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Rate and equilibrium data have been determined for the complex-formation reactions of $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{III}}$ with oxalate in aqueous solution. In order to suppress the hydrolysis of TiiII, fairly high concentrations of hydrogen ion have been used. At $10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ionic strength $/=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}(\mathrm{NaCl})$ the following stability constants have been obtained by spectrophotometry: $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{2-} \rightleftharpoons\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}, K_{1}=2.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} ;\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ $\Longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}, \mathrm{K}_{2}=1.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The kinetics of the complex-formation reactions have been studied by the temperature-jump relaxation technique. At $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.2-0.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ complex formation occurs predominantly via reaction with the monoprotonated ligand. For the first step, $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]-\xlongequal{k_{1} \mathrm{~b}}\left[\mathrm{Ti}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]+$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, a rate constant of $k_{1 \mathrm{~b}}=3.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is evaluated and for $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-} \xlongequal{k_{\mathbf{2}}}$ $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}=8.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, /=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)$. The kinetic data available indicate that substitution at the $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}\right]^{3+}$ ion proceeds by an associative mechanism.

Kinetics and mechanism of metal complex-formation reactions have been studied very extensively during recent years, in particular of those involving bivalent metal ions. ${ }^{1}$ Information about the complex-formation mechanism of trivalent metal ions is often more difficult to obtain, mainly because of the strong hydrolysis which most of these metal ions undergo. The problems arising in such a case may be of the following kind: (i) low-pH conditions limit the range of suitable ligands; (ii) polynuclear hydrolysis products lead to additional reaction effects; (iii) indistinguishable reaction paths can be formulated in cases involving protonable ligands, e.g. $\mathrm{M}^{3+}+\mathrm{L} \rightarrow[\mathrm{ML}]^{3+} \quad$ and $\quad[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}+\mathrm{HL}^{+} \rightarrow$ [ML] ${ }^{3+}$ (proton ambiguity). Plausibility arguments sometimes allow conclusions as to which of two such paths is the more likely. ${ }^{2,3}$
One metal ion about which very little is known with respect to the complex-formation kinetics is $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$. Except for the rate of water exchange, ${ }^{4}$ only the kinetics of its complex formation with thiocyanate have been reported. ${ }^{5}$ In the present paper studies are described which refer to the equilibria and the kinetics of complex formation of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\text {III }}$ with oxalate.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Materials.-Titanium(III) is gradually oxidized by perchlorate; ${ }^{6}$ therefore all the studies were carried out in chloride solution. Acidic solutions of titanium(III) chloride were either obtained commercially (Riedel-de Haen, free from iron) or prepared by dissolving titanium hydride (Fluka, $\geqslant 99.5 \%$ ) in $\mathrm{HCl}{ }^{7}$. In order to ensure absence of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{IV}}$, these solutions were reduced electrolytically at a mercury-pool cathode for 2-3 h before use (current ca. 200 mA , nitrogen atmosphere). ${ }^{5}$ The exact concentration of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ was determined by titration with dichromate, $\left[\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]^{2-}$, using diphenylbenzidine sulphonate as indicator. ${ }^{8}$ The concentration of free HCl was evaluated from a titration with standard alkali after an aliquot portion of the solution had been passed down a column of Amberlite IR-120(H)
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cation-exchange resin (in the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$form). The spectrum of the titanium(III) solution showed an absorption maximum at 503 nm with $\varepsilon 3.90 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $0.2-1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ HCl solution, in good agreement with literature data. ${ }^{9-11}$ Under these conditions $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ is the predominant metal species (see Discussion section). At ca. 300 nm the absorption was negligible, indicating the absence of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{IV}} .{ }^{10}$
Sodium chloride, sodium oxalate, and other substances used were of the best grade available (E. Merck, pro analysi).
Methods.-Titanium(III) solutions were always kept and handled under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Other solutions used in preparing the reactant solutions were deoxygenated by purging with purified nitrogen for at least 15 min . All the measurements were made at $10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at an ionic strength $I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, adjusted with NaCl . The low temperature was chosen since the system $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{II}}-[\mathrm{NCS}]^{-}$had been studied under similar conditions for technical reasons. ${ }^{5}$
The $\mathrm{p} K$ values of oxalic acid under the conditions of this study were determined from pH measurements of 0.02 mol $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ solutions of sodium oxalate to which $0.005-0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{HCl}$ had been added. The measurements were made with a Metrohm type E 388 instrument and a combined electrode type EA 125. A calibration curve which had been constructed from pH measurements of solutions of known amounts of HCl in $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} \mathrm{NaCl}$ was used for the conversion of pH values into $\mathrm{H}^{+}$concentrations.

Data on the extent of complex formation between $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and oxalate were evaluated from spectrophotometric measurements of solutions of varying metal : ligand ratios, using a recording Cary 118 spectrophotometer with thermostatted cells. The kinetics of complexation of $\mathrm{Ti}^{1 I I}$ with oxalate were studied by the temperature-jump relaxation technique. ${ }^{12}$ A high-voltage condenser of $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ was charged to 30 kV and then discharged through the solution which had been thermostatted at $7.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, raising its temperature by $3.0^{\circ}$ within $1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The chemical-relaxation process was followed spectrophotometrically. The photographed oscilloscope curves were evaluated using an electronic device which produced exponential curves of variable time constant and amplitude. The relaxation time
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constants given in this paper are the mean values of five or six individual measurements.


## RESULTS

Protolytic Equilibria.-Values of the dissociation constants of oxalic acid, $K_{\mathrm{Hi}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$and $K_{\mathrm{H} 2}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]$ were calculated from equations (1) and (2) as described by Moorhead and Sutin. ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{H} 1}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathbf{T}}-[\mathrm{H}]_{\mathbf{T}}+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)}{[\mathrm{H}]_{\mathbf{T}}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{\text {H2 }}=$

$$
\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left(2\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathbf{T}}-[\mathrm{H}]_{\mathbf{T}}+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H} 1}\left([\mathrm{H}]_{\mathrm{T}}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left([\mathrm{H}]_{\mathrm{T}}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]-\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{4}}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}\right)}
$$

In these equations $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{T}$ and $[\mathrm{H}]_{T}$ denote the total concentrations of oxalate and of added HCl , whereas $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ is the concentration of free hydrogen ions. Equation (1) is a simplified expression, valid only for conditions in which [ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ] is negligible; therefore only data obtained with low values of $[\mathrm{H}]_{\mathrm{T}}$ were used in evaluating $K_{\mathrm{H1}}$. At $10.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$, the following values have been obtained: $\quad K_{\mathrm{H} 1}=(3.0 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4} \quad$ and $\quad K_{\mathrm{H} 2}=(6.5$ $\pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$. The value of $K_{\mathrm{HI}}$ is slightly larger and that of $K_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ is somewhat smaller than the ones reported ${ }^{13}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Qualitatively, these variations with temperature agree with those found at $I=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} .{ }^{14}$

Complexation Equilibria.-Complex formation between $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and oxalate leads to a change in colour from red to yellow. The spectrum of a species which is supposed to be a bis(oxalato)titanium(III) complex has been published. ${ }^{15}$ It shows a strong absorption at $c a .400 \mathrm{~nm}$, an area in which the absorption of uncomplexed $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ is very weak.

In the present study spectrophotometric measurements were made at high metal:ligand ratios. Under these conditions, predominantly 1:1 complexes should be formed. The hydrogen-ion concentration was varied from 0.3 to 0.8 $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$, in which range most of the free ligand is in the diprotonated form. Even then, however, the extent of complex formation is large and low concentrations of total ligand had to be used to make possible the evaluation of the binding constant. The measurements therefore had to be made with a spectrophotometer cell of $10-\mathrm{cm}$ path length and in the absorbance range $0-0.5$. Total ligand $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$ was always $5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and total metal $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}$ was varied between 0.005 and $0.025 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ in each series. The observed spectra were corrected for the relatively small absorption due to uncomplexed $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$. The corrected spectra showed an absorption maximum at 380 nm .

The spectrophotometric data can be accounted for by assuming that only $1: 1$ complexes are being formed under the given conditions. With $K_{1}=\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]-$ $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$ and $K_{1}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}\right]$and with the absorbance per cm path length given by $A=\varepsilon_{1}$ Ti$\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{2+}\right]$, the following expressions may be derived, ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{A}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right] \mathrm{T}\left\{\varepsilon_{1} K_{1}+\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} K_{1}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / K_{\mathrm{H} 1}\right)\right\}}{\alpha}-  \tag{3}\\
\frac{A\left\{K_{1}+\left(K_{1}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / K_{\mathrm{H} 1}\right)\right\}}{\alpha}  \tag{4}\\
\alpha=1+\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{K_{\mathrm{H} 1}}+\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{K_{\mathrm{H} 1} K_{\mathrm{H} 2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

and where $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are the absorption coefficients of
$\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}$, respectively. Thus at constant $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{T}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, plots of $A /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]$ against $A$ should yield straight lines. Experimental data for several $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ were obtained at 360 nm (at this wavelength the correction due to uncomplexed $\mathrm{Ti}^{I I I}$ is smaller than at 380 nm ) and are shown in Figure 1. Since $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}} \gg\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}},\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]$ may be approximated by $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathbf{T}}$. More accurate values of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]$ were calculated using a preliminary binding constant which was evaluated from plots of $A /[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}$ against $A$.


Figure 1 Spectrophotometric data for complex formation between TiIII and oxalate at large metal : ligand ratios: plots of $A /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]$ against $A$ [equation (3)] at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$, and $360 \mathrm{~nm} .\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.8(a), 0.6(b), 0.5(c), 0.4(d)$, and 0.3 mol dm ${ }^{-3}(e)$


Figure 2 Evaluation of the binding constant $K_{1}$ from a plot of $\beta S$ against $K_{\mathrm{H}_{1}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$[equation (5)]

If the negative gradients of the straight lines of Figure 1 are denoted by $S$, then we obtain (5) where $\beta=\alpha K_{\mathrm{H} 1} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta S=K_{\mathbf{1}}^{\prime}+\left(K_{\mathbf{x}} K_{\mathrm{H} 1} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of $\beta S$ against $K_{\mathrm{HI}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is shown in Figure 2. Within experimental error, the intercept is zero, indicating that the formation of protonated complex, $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}$, is unimportant under our conditions ( $K_{1}^{\prime}<200 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ), as was also found for the corresponding iron(III) complex. ${ }^{13}$ The gradient of the line of Figure 2 gives ( $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\left.\mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right): K_{1}=(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
${ }^{13}$ E. G. Moorhead and N. Sutin, Inorg. Chem., 1966, 5, 1866.
${ }^{14}$ R. F. Bauer and W. M. Smith, Canad. J. Chem., 1965, 43, 2755.
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The fact that the straight lines of Figure 1 meet approximately at the same point on the abscissa also indicates that essentially one only complex species is being formed. From this intercept an absorption coefficient of $\varepsilon_{1}=76 \pm 2 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at 360 nm is evaluated for the species $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$. The absorption coefficient at the maximum is then $\varepsilon_{1(\max )}=$ $86 \pm 2 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(380 \mathrm{~nm})$, as follows from the spectra. A Job's plot with low reactant concentrations ([Ti] ${ }_{T}+$ $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=0.001 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ) also provided evidence that a mono-oxalato-complex is the principal species being formed under these conditions.

At higher concentrations of free ligand, however, the absorption maximum shifted to 400 nm and a Job's plot with $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ indicates formation of a bis(oxalato) complex of $\mathrm{Ti}^{11 I}$. Additional spectrophotometric studies were made with $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}=0.001,\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=0.01-0.03$, and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4-0.6$ $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$, using a cell of path length 1 cm . Assuming that under these conditions only the unprotonated forms of the mono-oxalato- and of the bis(oxalato) complexes of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ are important, then $A=\varepsilon_{1}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\varepsilon_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ti}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right] \text {where }}\right.$


Figure 3 Evaluation of the binding constant $K_{2}$ from a plot of [Ti] $/ A$ against $N$ [equation (7)] at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, I=1.0 \mathrm{moldm}^{-3}$ and $400 \mathrm{~nm} .\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4(\bullet), 0.5(\triangle)$, and $0.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}(\mathrm{O})$
$\varepsilon_{2}$ is the absorption coefficient of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$. With $K_{2}=$ $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right] \quad$ and $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+$ $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-}\right]$, expression (6) is obtained. Rearranging gives ${ }^{16}(7)$ and (8). A plot of $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}} / A$ against

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\frac{[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\varepsilon_{1} K_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]+\varepsilon_{2} K_{1} K_{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}\right)}{1+K_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]+K_{1} K_{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}}  \tag{6}\\
\frac{[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}}{A}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}+\frac{N}{\varepsilon_{2} K_{1} K_{2}}  \tag{7}\\
N=\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}-\frac{[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}} \varepsilon_{1} K_{1}}{A\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

$N$ using absorbances measured at $400 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon_{1} 79 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) is shown in Figure 3. The intercept and gradient of the straight line in Figure 3 yield $\varepsilon_{2} 490 \pm 50 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at 400 nm and $K_{2}=(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\left(10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\left.I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$. During this evaluation a preliminary value of $K_{2}$ was determined ( $1.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) using the approximation (since $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}} \geqslant[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}} \simeq\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]+$ $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]=\alpha\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$. Better values of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$ were then calculated with this value of $K_{2}$ and those of $K_{\mathrm{H} 1}, K_{\mathrm{H} 2}$, and $K_{1}$, and these were introduced into equation (7). The independence of gradient and intercept of the line in Figure 3 of $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$indicate that the protonated form of the bis(oxalato) complex is indeed unimportant.

Complex-formation Kinetics.-Kinetic studies were made at $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.2-0.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$. The reactant concentrations were $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}}=0.001-0.037$ and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=0.0011-0.043 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, with $[\mathrm{Ti}]_{\mathrm{T}} /\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$ varying over the range $0.028-33.6$. These concentration conditions were determined mainly by the complex stabilities, the optical absorption of the complex species, and by the sensitivity of the temperature-jump apparatus. Both the mono-oxalato- and the bis(oxalato) complex of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ have to be taken into account under the given conditions; in particular, it was not possible to study solutions in which the extent of formation of the bis complex could be neglected.

Experimentally, two relaxation effects were observed in all the solutions ( $\lambda 400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), one with a time constant $\tau_{1}$ which varied between 20 and $230 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, the other one with $\tau_{2}=0.44-3.2 \mathrm{~ms}$. Both time constants were always well separated; $\tau_{2} / \tau_{1}=11-45$. Protolytic equilibrations are extremely rapid at the hydrogen-ion concentrations used in this study. Therefore the two observed reaction effects are attributed to the formation of the mono- and bis-oxalatocomplexes of TiIII, while protonation steps may be assumed to be rapid pre-equilibria compared with the complexformation reactions. The paths (9)-(11) are considered for the formation of the $1: 1$ complex, leading to the rate

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{2-} \xrightarrow[k_{-1 \mathrm{a}}]{k_{1 \mathrm{a}}}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-} \underset{k_{-1} \mathrm{~b}}{k_{1} \mathrm{~b}}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \xrightarrow[k_{-1 \mathrm{c}}]{k_{1 \mathrm{c}}}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

equation (12) with (13) and (14) and where $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}=$ $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]$. Similarly, the formation of the bis complex is assumed to occur by the reaction

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right] / \mathrm{d} t=-k_{1}\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+k_{-1}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]  \tag{12}\\
k_{1}=k_{1 \mathrm{a}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha}+k_{1 \mathrm{bb}} \cdot \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\alpha K_{\mathrm{H} 1}}+k_{1 \mathrm{c}} \cdot \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\alpha K_{\mathrm{H} 1} K_{\mathrm{H} 2}}  \tag{13}\\
k_{-1}=k_{-1 \mathrm{a}}+k_{-1 \mathrm{~b}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+k_{-1 \mathrm{c}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$with $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{2-}\left(k_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, with $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}\left(k_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$, and with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(k_{2 \mathrm{c}}\right)$. Thus we obtain equations (15)-(17).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}-\right] / \mathrm{d} t= \\
& k_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}-k_{-2}\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}  \tag{15}\\
& k_{2}=k_{2 \mathrm{a}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha}+k_{2 \mathrm{~b}} \cdot \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\alpha K_{\mathrm{H} 1}}+k_{2 \mathrm{c}} \cdot \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\alpha K_{\mathrm{H} 1} K_{\mathrm{H} 2}}  \tag{16}\\
& k_{-2}=k_{-2 \mathrm{a}}+k_{-2 b}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+k_{-2 \mathrm{c}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The rate equations (12) and (15) which describe our system may be expressed in terms of the reactants' equilibrium concentrations and of the deviations ( $\delta[\mathrm{R}]$ ) from these. The hydrogen-ion concentration can be considered as constant to a good approximation under the given conditions. Introducing equilibrium relations and mass-conservation conditions one obtains for a relaxation process ( $\delta[\mathrm{R}] \ll[\mathrm{R}]$ ) the differential equations (18) and (19) (see ref. 12). This

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \delta\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right] / \mathrm{d} t= & -\left\{k_{1}\left(\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right] \mathrm{F}\right)+k_{-1}\right\} \delta\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right] \\
& -\left(k_{-1}-k_{1}\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]\right) \delta\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right] \quad(18) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{d} \delta\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}-\right] / \mathrm{d} t=-k_{2}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}-\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]\right) \delta\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]$
$-\left\{k_{2}\left(\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}\right)+k_{-2}\right\} \delta\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right]$(19)

[^0]system of equations leads to two relaxation-time constants which are of the form ${ }^{12}$ (20), with (21)-(24), $k_{1} / k_{-1}=$
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\tau_{1,2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) \pm\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)^{2}-4\left(a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21}\right)\right]\right\} }  \tag{20}\\
& a_{11}=k_{1}\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{1}\right)\right\}  \tag{21}\\
& a_{12}=k_{1}\left\{\left(\alpha / K_{1}\right)-\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]\right\}  \tag{22}\\
& a_{21}=k_{2}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}-\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]\right)  \tag{23}\\
& a_{22}=k_{2}\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ti}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$K_{1} / \alpha$, and $k_{2} / k_{-2}=K_{2} / \alpha$. From equation (20) is obtained (25) and (26). With the relations (21)-(24) we obtain (27)-(29).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\tau_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_{2}}=a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21}  \tag{25}\\
\frac{1}{\tau_{1}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{2}}=a_{11}+a_{22}  \tag{26}\\
\frac{1}{\tau_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_{2}}=k_{1} k_{2} B  \tag{27}\\
B=\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{1}\right)\right\}- \\
\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{2}\right)\right\}}\right.\right. \\
-\left\{\left(\alpha / K_{1}\right)-\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{++}\right]\right\}\left\{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}-\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]\right\}  \tag{28}\\
\frac{\left(1 / \tau_{1}\right)+\left(1 / \tau_{2}\right)}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{1}\right)}= \\
k_{1}+k_{2} \cdot \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{2}\right)}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}+\left(\alpha / K_{1}\right)} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Data which refer to $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ have been plotted according to equations (27) and (29) in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The straight line in Figure 5 has been placed such that a reasonably good fit is obtained and that the product of intercept and gradient agrees with the value of $k_{1} k_{2}=(5.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{10} \mathrm{dm}^{6} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ which results from Figure 4. From Figure 5 we obtained $k_{1}=(5.8$ $\pm 0.6) \times 10^{4}$ and $k_{2}=(1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$


Figure 4 Plot of the product of the reciprocal relaxation times against $B$ according to equation (27) at $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
$\left(10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)$. It follows with these rate constants that $a_{22} \gg a_{11}$ under our conditions ( $a_{22} / a_{11}=$ 12-27). Since $1 / \tau_{1} \gg 1 / \tau_{2}$, equation (26) simplifies to (30).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1} / \tau_{1} \simeq a_{22}=k_{2}\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}\right\}+k_{-2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, in a first approximation $a_{12} a_{21} \ll a_{11} a_{22}$ and
therefore [from (25) and (30)] we obtain (31). Plots accord-

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \tau_{2} \simeq a_{11}=k_{1}\left(\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}\right)+k_{-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

ing to equations (30) and (31) are shown in Figure 6. The apparent forward rate constants derived from these plots


Figure 5 Plot according to equation (29) for the evaluation of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ at $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}, 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$


$$
10^{2}\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ti}_{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{F}\right] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \quad 10^{2}\left[\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}\right) / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right.\right.
$$

Figure 6 Concentration dependence of the reciprocal relaxation times: (a) plot according to equation (30); (b) plot according to equation (31) at $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-8}$
( $k_{1}=5.7 \times 10^{4}$ and $k_{2}=9.8 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) are very close to those obtained from Figure 4 and 5. Also, the ratios of gradient: intercept from Figure 6 yield values of the stability constants ( $K_{1}=\alpha k_{1} / k_{-1}=2.74 \times 10^{6}$ and $K_{2}=$ $\alpha k_{2} / k_{-2}=1.17 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) which agree very well with those which have been determined spectrophotometrically.

Part of the scatter of the experimental points in Figure 6(b) (and in Figure 4) is due to a relatively large error in $\tau_{2}$; the amplitude of this relaxation effect was rather small in most of the experiments. The fast relaxation effect (time constant $\tau_{1}$ ) may to a good approximation be considered as uncoupled from the slower relaxation process and its amplitude was large enough (the relative change in transmitted light intensity $\delta I / I$ varied from 0.0022 to 0.023 at $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}, 1-\mathrm{cm}$ path length) to be evaluated with reasonable accuracy. Assuming that the fast effect is due to either the first or the second complex-formation step, the expressions (32)-(35) may be derived, ${ }^{12,17}$ where
${ }^{17}$ D. Thusius, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 356.
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Ti}}=$ absorption coefficient of $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ and $K_{1}{ }^{*}=K_{1} / \alpha$ and $K_{2}{ }^{*}=K_{2} / \alpha$ are the equilibrium constants expressed in

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta I / I=-2.3\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Ti}}\right) \Gamma_{1} \delta \ln K_{1}^{*}  \tag{32}\\
\delta I / I=-2.3\left(\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{1}\right) \Gamma_{2} \delta \ln K_{2}^{*}  \tag{33}\\
\Gamma_{1}=\left\{\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}}\right\}^{-1}  \tag{34}\\
\Gamma_{2}=\left\{\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-}\right]}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right]}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}}\right\}^{-1} \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

terms of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}$, e.g. $K_{1}{ }^{*}=\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{F}}$. $\quad \mathrm{A}$ comparison of the amplitude of the fast effect as well as of its observed concentration dependence with these expressions also indicates unambiguously that the fast effect is due to the second complex-formation step [formation of the bis(oxalato) complex]. To give just one illustration: the amplitude of the fast effect of a reactant solution for which $\Gamma_{1}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\Gamma_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ was observed to be $\delta I / I=0.0057$. With $\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{T i}=79$ and $\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{1}=410$, this leads to either $\delta \ln K_{1}{ }^{*} \sim 2.0$ or to $\delta \ln K_{2}{ }^{*}=0.038$. Only the latter figure represents a


Figure $7 \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$Dependence of (a) $\alpha k_{1}$ [equation (13)], and (b) $\propto k_{2}$ [equation (16)]

Apparent rate constants for the formation of mono-oxalatoand bis(oxalato) titanium(III) at various $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$; estimated errors $\pm 10 \%$ )

| $\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}}$ | $\frac{10^{-4} k_{1}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{10^{-5} k_{\mathrm{2}}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | 7.7 | 14.7 |
| 0.4 | 5.8 | 10.0 |
| 0.6 | 3.5 | 7.8 |
| 0.8 | 3.2 | 6.8 |

reasonable value since $\delta \ln K \simeq \delta K / K \ll 1$. The considerations of the relaxation amplitudes thus confirm the general picture of the kinetics of this two-step system as outlined above.

The data obtained at $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.2,0.6$, and $0.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ were evaluated in the same way. A summary of the apparent forward rates for the formation of the mono-oxalatoand bis(oxalato) complexes is given in the Table. Figure 7 shows the dependence of $\alpha k_{1}$ and $\alpha k_{2}$ on $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Comparisons with equations (13) and (16), respectively, clearly demonstrate that the terms with $k_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ and $k_{\text {2a }}$ do not contribute measurably to the overall reaction (zero intercept) and the same applies apparently to the terms with $k_{1 \mathrm{c}}$ and $k_{2 \mathrm{c}}$
${ }^{18}$ R. L. Pecsok and A. N. Fletcher, Inorg. Chem., 1962, 1, 155.
19 H. S. Harned and B. B. Owen, ' The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions,' 3rd edn., Reinhold, New York, 1958, p. 640.
(approximate linearity). Actually, the slight curvature of the experimental points in Figure $7(b)$ could indicate some contribution from $k_{2 c}$ but the effect is not large enough to be established beyond doubt. Thus complex formation proceeds predominantly via reaction with $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$under the conditions of this study, and the straight lines in Figure 7 yield the rate constants of this ligand species with $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ and with $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}: k_{1 \mathrm{~b}}=(3.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{5}, k_{2 \mathrm{~b}}=(8.0 \pm 0.8)$ $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)$. With the equilibrium relations it follows that $k_{-1 \mathrm{~b}}=460$ and $k_{-2 \mathrm{~b}}=$ $2.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The value for $k_{-2 b}$ has also been derived from the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$dependence of the intercepts ( $=k_{-2}$ ) of plots of the type shown in Figure 6(a); the corresponding plot of $k_{-2}$ against $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$] [equation (17)] also showed slight curvature.

## DISCUSSION

Titanium(III) in aqueous solution has a fairly strong tendency towards hydrolysis. Pecsok and Fletcher ${ }^{18}$ determined values of $K_{T}=\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$at 15,25 , and $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at various ionic strengths. Their data (in potassium bromide solution) lead to an estimate of $K_{\mathrm{T}}=1.6 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$. With $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.46 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~mol}^{2} \mathrm{~kg}^{-2}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{KCl},{ }^{19}$ the value for the acid-dissociation constant $K_{\mathrm{A}}=\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}\right]$ is $c a$. $7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$. This value is somewhat larger than the one which has been reported ${ }^{20}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $I=3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}(\mathrm{KBr})$, i.e. $K_{\mathrm{A}}=2.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, and indicates that at the lowest $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$used in this study ca. $3.5 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ is present in the hydrolyzed form. The small extent of hydrolysis was neglected in the equilibrium determinations.

The extent of complex formation of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ with chloride ion is also rather small. It has been reported that in $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} \mathrm{HCl}$ and in up to $5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{HCl}$ solutions the $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ is present predominantly as the hexa-aqua-complex. ${ }^{9,21}$ A more recent spectrophotometric study ${ }^{22}$ over a wide range of $\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$resulted in a stability constant for the monochlorotitanium(III) complex of $K_{\mathrm{Cl}}=0.07-$ $0.2 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, with some evidence that the lower value is more correct. In the experiments of the present investigation the concentration of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$varied between 0.91 and $0.99 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$. Thus it is to be expected that not more than a few percent of the $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ is present as the monochloro-complex. The small extent of innersphere complexing with chloride is therefore also considered to be a negligible factor. (No relaxation effect was observed between $3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and 0.5 s in the absence of oxalate.)

On the other hand, outer-sphere complexing between $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is certainly appreciable; it may easily involve about half of the $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ which is not complexed by oxalate since the stability constant for outer-sphere association between reactants of the charge type $+3,-1$ is often near unity at an ionic strength near $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} .{ }^{5}$

[^1] 439.
${ }^{21}$ C. K. Jørgensen, Acta Chem. Scand., 1957, 11, 73.
${ }_{22}$ H. J. Gardner, Austral. J. Chem., 1967, 20, 2357.

Under our conditions the concentration of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is large compared with those of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and oxalate and is approximately constant. Also, outer-sphere complex equilibration is extremely rapid. Therefore, the influence of outer-sphere complex formation between $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$on the equilibria and the kinetics of the system titanium(III)oxalate may be simply considered as a constant effect of the medium.

The strong shielding of electrostatic interactions by the high ionic strength certainly contributes to the observation that the stepwise equilibrium constants for the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$differ only by a factor of 2.3. Similar small ratios of $K_{1} / K_{2}$ at $I=0.1-1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ have also been reported for the oxalato-complexes of several other trivalent metal ions, e.g. for $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+},{ }^{23} \mathrm{Fe}^{3+},{ }^{24}$ and $\mathrm{In}^{3+}$. ${ }^{25}$ The stability constant $K_{1}$ for $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$is about one order of magnitude smaller than that ${ }^{13}$ for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}\left(K_{1}=3.9 \times 10^{7}\right.$ $\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\left.I=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)$. Other ligands like $[\mathrm{NCS}]^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and edta ${ }^{4-}$ (ethylenediamine-tetra-acetate) also form more stable complexes with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (ref. 26) than with $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+5,22,26$ This difference in complex stabilities is apparently due to a somewhat larger radius of the $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ ion as compared with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} .{ }^{27}$

It appears reasonable that complex formation occurs predominantly via the reaction of TiIII with $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$ under the conditions of this study. The concentration of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ is too low to contribute appreciably to the overall reaction, and the fully protonated ligand, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, is expected to be a very weak nucleophile. Nucleophilic strength is an important factor in associative substitution reactions and there is some evidence that substitution at the $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ ion proceeds by such a mechanism. For instance, from a consideration of activation parameters an associative reaction path was proposed for the exchange of co-ordinated water. ${ }^{4}$ Also, the first-order rate constant for water exchange in $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left[\right.$ from $k_{\text {es }}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\Delta H^{\ddagger}($ ref. 4$)$ ], a value clearly lower than that for inner-sphere substitution by $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$, which is ca. $4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (with an outer-sphere stability constant $\simeq 1$ ) according to the present study. On the other hand, inner-sphere substitution by [NCS] ${ }^{-}$occurs with a rate constant of only $\simeq 8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} .5$ These variations in inner-sphere substitution rates are also most conveniently accounted for by an associative mechanism, probably of the type 'associative interchange ' ( $I_{a}$ mechanism). ${ }^{28}$

In principle, of course, the rate term attributed to $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$could also be due to the reaction path $[\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, with a rate constant $k^{\prime}=k_{1 \mathrm{~b}} K_{\mathrm{H} 2} /$

[^2]$K_{\mathrm{A}}=3.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. If this alternative formulation were correct, however, then one would expect also a contribution from $[\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$, with a rate constant at least somewhat higher than $k^{\prime}$ (because of the electrostatics). This means that the plot in Figure $7(a)$ should show a finite intercept of at least $1 \times 10^{8}-1.5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, a value which is considered to be outside the experimental uncertainty. Two conclusions can be drawn from this consideration: first, the assignment of the dominating rate term to the reaction path $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$is unambiguous; secondly, the reaction of $[\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}$ with $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$is not much faster ( $<$ factor 5 ) than that of $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ with $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-}$. The second point contrasts with the behaviour exhibited by the system $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}+$ oxalate ${ }^{13}$ and by the reactions of various other ligands with $\mathrm{Fe}{ }^{\mathrm{III}},{ }^{2} \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{III}},{ }^{29}$ and $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}},{ }^{30}$ but is in accord with previous observations of titanium(III) substitution kinetics. ${ }^{5}$ A behaviour similar in this respect to that of $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{III}}$ is also shown by VIII (refs. 3 and 31) and possibly by $\mathrm{Mo}^{\text {tII }}{ }^{32}$

For the reaction step $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{-} \rightarrow$ $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$. (rate constant $k_{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), effects of hydrolysis should be negligible because of the reduction in charge of the titanium(III) species. It is remarkable, however, that the rate constant $k_{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ is larger than $k_{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ by a factor of 20 , despite a less favourable electrostatic interaction. A similar enhancement has also been reported for the rate of formation of $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$as compared to that for $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+} .{ }^{33}$ Presumably the incorporation of a dinegative oxalate anion into the first co-ordination sphere of $\mathrm{Ti}^{I I I}$ causes a considerable labilization of the remaining water molecules. Because of the lower positive charge it is possible that substitution of water in $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$proceeds by a predominantly dissociative mechanism. The nucleophilic strength of the entering ligand is then a rather unimportant factor. Such a change in mechanism (as compared to the first complex-formation step) would conveniently explain why some evidence for a contribution of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (rate constant $k_{2 \mathrm{c}}$ ) to the second complex-formation step was noticed. The experimental points in Figure $7(b)$ are actually fitted better by $k_{2 \mathrm{~b}}=5.3 \times 10^{6}$ and $k_{2 \mathrm{c}}=3.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ than by $k_{2 \mathrm{~b}}=8.0 \times 10^{6}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $k_{2 \mathrm{c}}=0$. However, the evidence for the participation of the reaction path denoted by $k_{2 \mathrm{c}}$ can only be considered as tentative at this moment.
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